

(3) a) Theorem If the system $Ax \leq b$ of rational linear inequalities has a solution, it has one of finite type [Ab].

Proof. Let $\{x \mid A'x = d'\}$ be a minimal face of the polyhedron $\{x \mid Ax \leq b\}$ where $[A'd']$ is a submatrix of $[Ab]$. By 1, d) that minimal face contains a point of polynomial type. \square

b) Farkas' Lemma: Let A be a matrix and b be a vector. Then there exists a column vector $x \geq 0$ with $Ax = b$ if and only if $y^T b \geq 0$ for each row vector y with $y^T A \geq 0$.

Proof: e.g. Schrijver, TLIP §7.3

c) Cor The following problems have good characterizations: LP-feasibility

i) Given A and b (rational), does $Ax \leq b$ have a solution? decision vs. finding

ii) Given A and b , does $Ax = b$ have a nonnegative solution?

iii) Given A, b, c and f , does $Ax \leq b$, $Cx > f$ have a solution?

(4) a) Let $P = P(A, b) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Ax \leq b\}$ be a nonempty polyhedron with minimal faces F_1, \dots, F_r . Pick a point x_i from each minimal face F_i . Then

$$P = \text{conv}\{x_1, \dots, x_r\} + \text{rec } P$$

where

$$\text{rec } P := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \forall x \in P \ \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} : x + \lambda y \in P\}$$

recession cone of P

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{lin } P := \{y \in \text{rec } P \mid -y \in \text{rec } P\} \\ \text{midality space} \quad \quad \quad = \{x \mid Ax = 0\} \end{array} \right]$$

b) Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a rational polyhedron

Def facet complexity of P := smallest number $\varphi \geq n$ such that ex. A, b with $P = P(A, b)$ and each inequality has size $\leq \varphi$

Def vertex complexity of P := smallest number $v \geq n$ such that ex. x_1, \dots, x_k and y_1, \dots, y_t with

$$P = \text{conv}\{x_1, \dots, x_k\} + \text{pos}\{y_1, \dots, y_t\}$$

where each x_i, y_j has size $\leq v$.

Run both notions defined even if
P has no vertices or facets

c) Thm Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a rational polyhedron
with facet complexity φ and vertex
complexity ν .

Then $\nu \leq 4n^2\varphi$ and $\varphi \leq 4n^2\nu$

Proof. Let $P = P(A, b)$ such that each
ineq in $Ax \leq b$ has rite $\leq \varphi$.

(i) Let F_1, \dots, F_k be the minimal faces
of P . Then $F_i = P(A'_i, b'_i)$ for some
submatrix $[A'_i b'_i]$ of $[A \ b]$ \Rightarrow each
ineq in $A'_i x \leq b'_i$ has rite $\leq \varphi$

By (1, f) F_i contains a point x_i of rite
 $\leq 4n^2\varphi$.

(ii) Similarly, dim $P = P(A, \emptyset)$ has
a basis where each vector has rite $\leq 4n^2\varphi$.

(iii) Each minimal proper face of
 P of $\text{rec } P$ contains a vector $y \notin h_i P$
of rite $\leq 4n^2\varphi$ since

$$F = \{x \mid A'x = \emptyset, Ax \leq \emptyset\}$$

for some submatrix A' of A and some \emptyset
row $a \in A$. [2nd claim, e.g. Schrijver TLIP
Thm 10.2]

d) Cor lat $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{Q}^m$, $c \in \mathbb{Q}^n$

such that the optima

$$(*) \max \{Cx \mid Ax \leq b\} = \min \{yb \mid y \geq 0, yb = c\}$$

are finite. Let τ be the max. size of the coefficients of A, b, c . Then

i) the maximum in $(*)$ has an opt. solution of size $\in \text{poly}(n, \tau)$

ii) the minimum in $(*)$ has - -

iii) the opt value $(*) \in \text{poly}(n, \tau)$.

(5) a) LP-optimization problem

Given A, b, c rational, test wif
 $\max \{Cx \mid Ax \leq b\}$ is infeasible finite
or unbounded. If it is finite, find
opt. solution. If unbounded, find
feasible solution x_0 and vector z
with $Az \leq 0$ and $Cz > 0$.

compare with LP-feasibility (3,c i)

b) LP-feasibility \Rightarrow LP-optimization:

Given A, b, c

i) check $Ax \leq b$ and find feasible x_0 .

ii) check if $y \geq 0, yA = c$ feasible

iii) Then

(**) $Ax \leq b, y \geq 0, y^T A = c, c^T x \geq y^T b$
has a solution (x^*, y^*) which
is an optimal dual pair for (*).

c) LP optimization \Rightarrow LP-feasibility

Take $C = 0$ as objective function. Naive!

d) Again let $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{Q}^m$.

A point $x \in P = P(A, b)$ is interior

if $Ax < b$. This exists iff $\dim P = n$.

Consider the linear program

$$(***) \max \{ \epsilon \mid Ax + \mathbb{1}_m \epsilon \leq b, 0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1 \}$$

not necessary
but useful
in practice

i) The LP (***)
is feasible iff

$Ax \leq b$ is feasible, i.e. $P \neq \emptyset$.

ii) The LP (***)
has an optimal
solution with $\epsilon > 0$ iff $\text{int}(P) \neq \emptyset$.